

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/742,470 | 12/21/2000 | Nadim Joni Shah | JT-6 (7777*6) | 8850 | |
| 7 | 590 12/07/2001 | | | | |
| Ashely I. Pez: | | | EXAM | NER | |
| CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP 1220 Market Street | | | VARGAS, DIXOMARA | | |
| P.O. Box 2207 Wilmington, DE 19899 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |

2862 DATE MAILED: 12/07/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | pplicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | 09/742,470 SHAH ET AL. | | | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication and | Dixomara Vargas | h 4 - 24 - 41 | 2862 | <u> </u> | | | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sh t with the correspondenc address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| 1)[| Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| 2a) | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th | is action is non-fina | ıl. | | | | | |
| 3) | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 9-16 is/are pending in the application | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 6)🖂 | Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requireme | ent. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)🛛 : | The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 December 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a)[| ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been receive | ed. | | | | | |
| | Certified copies of the priority documents | have been receive | ed in Applicatio | n No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | (s) | | ~ | | | | | |
| 2) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) 🔲 No | tice of Informal Pa | (PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT | | | | |
| S. Patent and Tra | | | | | | | | |

Dad of Dansa No. 6

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 18, 21-32. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "30" has been used to designate two different areas. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the listing of the reference numbers on the figure with their definition is improper. If such listing is necessary, it must be in parenthesis between the lines of the abstract of the disclosure. As an example for proper numbering, the examiner cites the US patent 5,500,593. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The examiner is unable to distinguish what part of the disclosure is the background of the invention and what part is the invention.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout and content for patent applications. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Art Unit: 2862

Arrangement of the Specification

Page 3

The following order or arrangement is preferred in framing the specification and, except for the reference to the drawings, each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underling or bold type, as section headings. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) Title of the Invention.
- (b) Cross-Reference to Related Applications.
- (c) Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research or Development.
- (d) Reference to a "Sequence Listing," a table, or a computer program listing appendix submitted on compact disc (see 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5)).
- (e) Background of the Invention.
 - 1. Field of the Invention.
 - 2. Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention.
- (g) Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s).
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention.
- (i) Claim or Claims (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (i) Abstract of the Disclosure (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) Drawings
- (1) Sequence Listing, if on paper (see 37 CFR 1.821-1.825).

Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification. It should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) Cross-References to Related Applications: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.
- (d) Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix": See 37CFR 1.96(c) and MPEP § 608.05, if the application was filed before March 1, 2001. The total number of microfiche and the total number of frames should be specified. Reference to a "Sequence Listing," a table, or a computer program listing appendix submitted on compact disc and an incorporation by reference of the material on the compact disc.

Art Unit: 2862

٠,

(e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:

(1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."

Page 4

- (2) <u>Description of the Related Art</u>: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (i) <u>Claim or Claims</u>: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet (37 CFR 1.52(b)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further

Application/Contr

Application/Control Number: 09/742,470 Page 5

Art Unit: 2862

•

segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).

- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure: A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims.
- (k) <u>Drawings</u>: See 37 CFR 1.81, 1.83-1.85, and MPEP § 608.02.
- (l) Sequence Listing, if on paper: See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825.
- 5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 7. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.
- 8. Claims 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are:
 - a) Specifying the object to be examined.
 - b) Specifying the properties detected.
 - c) Specifying the type of measurements performed.
 - d) How the measurements are performed for detecting the properties.
- e) What is applied in order to receive a signal to examine the object and how is the signal processed.

Art Unit: 2862

٠,

9. Claims 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Libove et al. (US 4,574,240).

In light of the foregoing indefiniteness rejections, the following art rejections are based on the best interpretation that the examiner is able to give the claims.

With respect to claim 9, Libove teaches a process to examine at least one object, which comprises: detecting properties of the object by various measurements within a spatial-frequency space formed by spatial frequencies (Column 4, lines 27-43), wherein said various measurements are taken in overlapping areas of the spatial-frequency space and in additional areas of the spatial-frequency space that differ from each other (Column 7, lines 33-40).

- 12. With respect to claim 10, Libove teaches said measurements of the areas take place at least three different detection rates of occurrence (Column 3, lines 3-5; Column 11, lines 58-62).
- 13. With respect to claim 11, Libove teaches said areas that overlap cover a central region of the spatial-frequency space (Figure 1).
- 14. With respect to claim 12, Libove teaches the additional areas in the spatial-frequency

Art Unit: 2862

space are at a distance from each other that is greater than their spatial-frequent extension in the direction of this distance (Figure 1).

- 15. With respect to claim 13, Libove teaches the additional areas of spatial-frequency space extend, at least partially, parallel to each other (Figure 1).
- 16. With respect to claim 14, Libove teaches elements of the detected areas form a disjunctive set in at least one measurement (Figure 1).
- 17. With respect to claim 15, Libove teaches disjunctive elements extend, at least partially, parallel to each other in the spatial-frequency space (Figure 1).
- 17. With respect to claim 16, Libove teaches the measurements are carried out in such a way that a cycle is formed in which at least some of the areas of the spatial-frequency space that differ from each other are once again detected in additional measurements (Column 8, lines 40-44).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO 892 attached.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dixomara Vargas whose telephone number is (703) 305-5705. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am. to 4:30 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (703) 305-4705. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Page 8

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0956.

Dixomara Vargas

Examiner Art Unit 2862 December 2, 2001

HEZRON WILLIAMS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800